



ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 27 **N 6156**

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 27/WG 3 **N 896**

REPLACES: N

**ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 27**

**Information technology - Security techniques**

**Secretariat: DIN, Germany**

**DOC. TYPE:** Dispositions of Comments

**TITLE:** Dispositions of comments on ISO/IEC FCD 15408-2 Revision (SC 27 N 5502) Information technology – Security techniques – Evaluation Criteria for IT security - Part 2: Security functional components

**SOURCE:** 35<sup>th</sup> SC 27/WG 3 meeting

**DATE:** 2007-10-04

**PROJECT:** 15408-2 (revision)

**STATUS:** Output document of the editing session for ISO/IEC FCD 15408-2 Revision (SC 27 N 5502) held during the 35<sup>th</sup> SC 27/WG 3 meeting Luzern, Switzerland, October 1 – 4, 2007.

This document was available at the above-mentioned meeting. It is being circulated for information.

**ACTION:** FYI

**DUE DATE:**

**DISTRIBUTION:** P-, O-, and L- Members  
W. Fumy, SC 27 Chairman, M. De Soete, SC 27 Vice Chair  
E. Humphreys, K. Naemura, M. Ohlin, M.C. Kang, K. Rannenbergl, WG-Conveners

**MEDIUM:** Server

**NO. OF PAGES:** 1 + 4

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Resolution on each comment
DE 1	General	General	TE	<p>With this comment the German NB reacts to the noninclusion of its last comments and proposals with regard to the families FPR_TRD, FDP_IRC, and FPR_UNL, i.e. the proposal to include FPR_TRD and FDP_IRC and to complement FPR_UNL.</p> <p>There were some arguments that the terminology was not matching that of the CC. Therefore we changed the terminology in FDP_IRC and FPR_TRD.</p> <p>Also it was mentioned that "negative" requirements, as in FPR_UNL are not appropriate . However we consider this feature so important, that we resubmit it. Moreover there are many other functionalities in the CC, that also have "negative" phrasing, as it is difficult or impossible to express desired features, when one is restricted to just phrasing "positively". Examples are the existing members of the family FPR_UNL, but also FDP_RIP.1, which is often used in today's evaluations and in essence also says: "information shall not be available...". FDP_RIP is even used in CC 3.1, Part 3, ADV_FSP as an example of SFRs, which cannot be tested in the usual way at the external interfaces.</p>	The proposed SFRs can be found in the attached document "privacy_sfrs_with_change_marks.doc".	Not accepted. Please refer to DOC on previous WD (SC27 N5393). The majority of the SC 27/WG 3 experts do not support the inclusion of the proposed SFRs into 15408-2.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Resolution on each comment
JP 1	Project Title		te	Though the project title of 15408-2 in the cover page is “Security functional requirements”, it is changed to “Security functional components” in the main document.	Change the project title to “Security functional components” or its description in the main document. And if the project title will be changed, all descriptions of “security functional requirements” in the main document should be changed to “security functional components”.	Accepted. However, in most cases, references in the body of the document to “security functional requirements” are correct – it is the instantiation of a component that is meant. There are three exceptions where “requirement” should be changed to “component”. This is in the 2nd, 11th and 14th paragraphs of the paradigm clause.
JP 2	7.4.8		ed	<i>FAU_SAR.1 Audit review</i> <u><i>This component will provide authorised users the capability to obtain and interpret the information. In case of human users this information needs to be in a human understandable presentation. In case of external IT entities the information needs to be unambiguously represented in an electronic fashion.</i></u> <i>[Annex C.5]</i> <i>C.5.2.1 Rationale</i> <i>This component will provide authorised users the capability to obtain and interpret the information. In case of human users this information needs to be in a human understandable presentation. In case of external IT entities the information needs to be unambiguously represented in an electronic fashion.</i>	Delete underlined sentences in 7.4.8. Annex C.5.2.1 provides the same sentences	Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Resolution on each comment
KR 1	7.6.11	-	ed	- FAU_STG.1.1 The TSF shall protect the stored audit records in the audit trail from unauthorised deletion. - FAU_STG.2.1 The TSF shall protect the stored audit records from unauthorised deletion. <input type="checkbox"/> At FAU_STG.2.1 does not include 'in the audit trail'	-	Accepted. Missing words will be included.
KR 2	14	Figure 14	ed	Figure 14 does not list in alphabetical order. (FPT_TEE, FPT_FLS ...)	-	Accepted. Subclause FPT_TEE is out of order and will be moved, then figure 14 corrected.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>NB<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex</b> (e.g. 3.1)	<b>Paragraph/ Figure/Table/Note</b> (e.g. Table 1)	<b>Type of comment<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the NB</b>	<b>Proposed change by the NB</b>	<b>Resolution on each comment</b>

**	Legal Notice		ed	Legal Notice shall not be a separate element of the document.	Incorporate Legal Notice in the Foreword and remove from the Table of contents, as in ISO/IEC 15408-2:2005.	Accepted.
----	--------------	--	----	---	---	-----------

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.